

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/812,359	ZENDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew W. Ing	3637	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Matthew W. Ing.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Susan A. Wolfe.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 May 2007

**Time:** 1200 hrs

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Briefly, all of record*

Claims discussed:

*Briefly, all of record; in particular, claim 4*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Briefly, all of record*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An examiner's amendment was proposed, discussed, and agreed-upon.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 Jose V. Chen  
 Primary Examiner

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)